United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING **REVOCATION HEARING**

	4 •	REVOCATION REARING
Vince	ente Sanchez Jaramillo	Case Number: 1:02-CR-18
lr require	n accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. the detention of the defendant pending revocatio	§3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following fact in hearing in this case.
	Part	I Findings of D
(1	offense) (state or local offense that would hav existed) that is	described in 18 Ū.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal e been a federal offense if a circum stance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S	C.§3156(a)(4).
	an offense for which the maximum sen	tence is life imprisonment or death
	an offense for which the maximum terr	n of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		
[] (2)	U.S.C.§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparab	efendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in le state or local offenses.
	offense.	itted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapse the offense described in finding (1)	d since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for
(4)	assure the safety of (an)other person(s) an presumption.	able presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably d the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the	rnate Findings (A) defendant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum term of imprison	ment of ten years or more is prescribed in
Г	under 18 0.5.0.9924(c).	
□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption reasonably assure the appearance of the defe	on established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will endant as required and the safety of the community.
☐ <i>(</i> 1)	Alter	nate Findings (R)
(1) (X) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant wi	ll not appear
	Defendant will	endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	for going to one of his two jobs, he chose whom he allowed to drive his car), he trav previously arranged to sell drugs to an un	court of conspiring to distribute at least 100 kilograms of marijuana. Or CSC in Grand Rapids, a facility he was not supposed to leave except not to go to work. Instead, along with two other individuals (one of seled to the other side of town to pick up a David Gonzalez who had dercover officer at a Meijer grocery (continued on attachment)
		ment of Reasons for Detention
utomobile plice office	that everyone else knew contained a substate ers, when he should have been at work on the	ng evidence that there are any conditions or combination of conditions. Defendant is clearly familiar with drugs and was clearly in an intial amount of drugs and which was involved in the undercover sale se other side of town. Even if the defendant is not charged with drug an illegal transaction, (continued on attachment)
	D	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in corrections facility. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel, facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a co

Dated:	December 28, 2006	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.
		Signature of Judicial Officer Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

United States v. Vincente Sanchez Jaramillo 1:02-CR-18 ORDER OF DETENTION REVOCATION HEARING Page 2.

Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)

store parking lot. The foursome first went to the Meijer store on Alpine, but when they learned they had gone to the wrong store, they proceeded to Plainfield Avenue to make the sale. Mr. Gonzalez sat in the back seat with the defendant with a large bag containing several pounds of marijuana between them. The odor was extremely noticeable to the other three persons in the car, as well as the police officers who subsequently arrested Gonzales following the transaction. All of these locations were far away from the CSC and both of defendants' places of employment. Defendant also had \$1,163.00 cash on his person when arrested, although he has been unable to pay any court fines over the past year, despite his employment.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)

at a location where he had no business being while on supervised release. Clearly, defendant has violated the trust placed in him when he was allowed to reside at the CSC and obtain employment.